

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,363	11/02/2001	David Laurence Becker	HO-P02246US0	1593
26271	7590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGH 1301 MCKIN	Γ & JAWORSKI, LLF NEY		MCGARRY, SEAN	
SUITE 5100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	ΓX 77010-3095		1635	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/890,363	BECKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sean R McGarry	1635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Post of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specific reference was i</li></ul>						
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/890,363

Art Unit: 1635

## Restriction/Election

This restriction supersedes the restriction requirement mailed 4/30/03. The instant restriction properly identifies linking claims and also informs applicant of rejoinder.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 2-9, 13-15, and 37-39, drawn to formulation comprising an antisense polynucleotide to connexin 43.

Group II, claims(s) 2-6, 8, 10, 13-15, 37, and 40, drawn to formulation comprising an antisense polynucleotide to connexin 26.

Group III, claim(s) 2-6, 8, 11, 13-15, 37 and 41, drawn to formulation comprising an antisense polynucleotide to connexin 31.1.

Group IV, claim(s) 2-6, 8, 12-15, 37 and 42, drawn to formulation comprising an antisense polynucleotide to connexin 32.

Group V, claims(s) 2-6, 8, 13-15, and 37, drawn to formulation comprising an antisense polynucleotide to connexin 36.

Group VI, claim(s) 17-19, drawn to treatment of neuronal cell with antisense to connexin.

Group VII, claim(s) 20-23, and 26, drawn to a method of promoting wound healing via antisense to connexin.

Group VIII, claim(s) 24 and 25, drawn to a method of reducing inflammation via antisense to connexin.

Group IX, claim(s) 27-30, drawn to a method of rejuvenating or thickening for a cosmetic or therapeutic purpose via antisense to connexin.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,363

Art Unit: 1635

Group X, claim(s) 32, drawn to a method of making a medicament comprising an antisense to connexin for treatment of a neuronal assault.

Group XI, claim(s)33 and 35, drawn to a method of making a medicament comprising an antisense to connexin for promoting wound healing.

Group XII, claim(s) 34, drawn to a method of making a medicament comprising an antisense to connexin for reducing inflammation.

Group XIII, claim 36, drawn to a method of making a medicament comprising an antisense to connexin for skin rejuvenation.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Ruch et al [Molecular Carcinogenesis, Vol. 14 No. 4: 269-274, 12, 1995] disclose antisense to connexin 43. This disclosure destroys any special technical feature linking the groups.

Claims 2, -5, 6, 8, 13-15, and 37 are generic to Groups I-V and will be examined limited to the subject matter of the invention elected.

## The invention is further restricted as follows:

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 C.F.R. 1.141, the antisense sequences listed in Group I are subject to restriction. The Commissioner has partially waived the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.141 and will permit a reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. Under this policy, up to 10 of independent and distinct nucleotide sequences will be examined in a single application. (see MPEP 803.04 and 2434)

Group I specifically claims antisense SEQ ID NOS 1-3, which are targeted to and modulates the expression of connexin 43. Although the antisense sequences claimed each target and modulate expression of the same gene, the instant antisense

sequences are considered to be unrelated, since each antisense sequence claimed is structurally and functionally independent and distinct for the following reasons: each antisense sequence has a unique nucleotide sequence, each antisense sequence targets a different and specific region of connexin 43, and each antisense, upon binding to connexin 43 (encoding nucleic acid), functionally modulates (increases or decreases) the expression of the gene and to varying. Furthermore, a search of more than one (1) of the antisense sequences claimed presents an undue burden on the Patent and Trademark Office due to the complex nature of the search and corresponding examination of more than one (1) of the claimed antisense sequences. In view of the foregoing, one (1) antisense sequence is considered to be a reasonable number of sequences for examination. Accordingly, applicants are required to elect one (1) antisense sequence from Group I.

It is noted that the amendment of the method claims to recite more than one specific connexin or more than one specific antisense to a specific connexin will be subject to the restriction.

If applicant elects a group where the group includes claim/s that recite a combination of antisense target/antisense oligonucleotide sequence, applicant must recite the elected invention [specific connexin gene target and/or specific antisense sequence] with the desired combination of connexin antisense target/antisense.

Art Unit: 1635

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I-V, claim 16 links inventions VI-IX, and claim 31 links inventions X-XIII. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1, 16 or 31. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection

Application/Control Number: 09/890,363

Art Unit: 1635

are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/890,363 Page 7

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R McGarry whose telephone number is (703)305-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

srm

12/29/03

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER